

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/016,714	10/22/2001	Thomas S. Lewis	2398	4445
7590 03/10/2005			EXAMINER	
Beck & Tysve	r, P.L.L.C.	OUELLETTE, JONATHAN P		
Suite 100 2900 Thomas Avenue South			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Minneapolis, MN 55416-4477			3629	
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/200:	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/016,714	LEWIS, THOMAS S.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jonathan Ouellette	3629	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely

 If NO period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a riedy within the statutory finantian of thirty (30) days will be considered thirty. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 							
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	led on <u>22 October 200</u>	<u>1</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL .	2b) This action is no	on-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the prac	•	for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•—	6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or election re	equirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.					
10)[10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. No	te the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmer							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) X Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 cer No(s)/Mail Date 20030210.		5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/016,714

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 2. <u>Claim 1</u> is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Niamir (US 2002/0027567 A1).
- 3. As per independent Claim 1, Niamir discloses a method for aggregating and displaying data developed by competing business (users) comprising: a) collecting data at a client system of the competing businesses (Para 0053-0055, transfer from LSS to CSS); b) presenting the collected data to a server system; c) aggregating the data from the at least two businesses into an aggregated data collection (Para 0053-0055); d) presenting a portion of the aggregated data collection to each business (user) in the form of HTML data, the HTML data containing mechanisms to select, search, and sort the portion of the aggregated data; and integrating the HTML data containing the aggregated data into web sites operated by or for the competing businesses without the need to alter the HTML data (Para 0034, Para 0084-0088).

Application/Control Number: 10/016,714 Page 3

Art Unit: 3629

الم أساعم

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Niamir.
- 5. As per Claim 2 and 3, Niamir does not expressly show wherein the HTML data is integrated into the web sites by placing the HTML data within its own HTML frame or integrated into the web sites by placing the HTML data within a cell of an HTML table.
- 6. However these differences are only found in the nonfunctional descriptive material and are not functionally involved in the steps recited. The method for aggregating and displaying data developed by competing business would be performed regardless how the HTML data is integrated. Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, *see In re Gulack*, 703 F.2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983); *In re Lowry*, 32 F.3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994).
- 7. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have integrated the HTML data into the web sites by placing the HTML data within its own HTML frame or integrated into the web sites by placing the HTML data within a cell of an HTML table, because such data does not functionally

Application/Control Number: 10/016,714

Art Unit: 3629

relate to the steps in the method claimed and because the subjective interpretation of the data does not patentably distinguish the claimed invention.

Page 4

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

9. Additional Literature has been referenced on the attached PTO-892 form, and the

Examiner suggests the applicant review these documents before submitting any

amendments.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jonathan Ouellette whose telephone number is (703) 605-

0662 ((571) 272-6807 effective April 13, 2005). The examiner can normally be reached

on Monday through Thursday, 8am - 5:00pm.

11. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (703) 308-2702. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned (703) 872-9306 for all

official communications.

12. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-5484.

March 3, 2005

SELECT S MANOL

LITTINGORY PATENT EXAMINER

Y CENTER 3300